

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Supplemental to the Request for Continued Examination filed September 15, 2008, and in light of the Examiner interview held on September 23, 2008, Applicants hereby further amend the independent claims to indicate that (1) the structured document has information regarding multi-media contents, (2) the data contents includes multi-media contents, and (3) the information about the contents includes a media information describing portion. Nonlimiting support for these amendments to the independent claims is found in the present specification, for example, at paragraph [0051], and Figure 4.

One example of a type of multi-media content is audio visual content as shown in Figure 4. Information regarding the multi-media contents, for example, would be a start time and duration of multi-media contents (see e.g. Field 42 in Figure 4). Therefore no new matter is added.


As discussed during the interview, Callahan is directed to a conventional structured document analysis tool for filtering documents, used by a physician's office. It is not believed that Callahan relates to multi-media contents in any fashion. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that each of Claims 1-33, as amended, is patentably distinguishing over the prior art. Furthermore, the remarks and arguments submitted in the Amendment filed December 26, 2007, and Request for Reconsideration filed July 14, 2008, as well as the Declaration of Inventor filed August 22, 2008, are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

In view of the differences between the presently pending claims and the asserted prior

art, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for formal allowance. A Notice of Allowability is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Bradley D. Lytle
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 40,073

Customer Number
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 08/07)